UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,732	38,732 02/27/2004 Lee D. Saathoff		EI-7624	6113
	7590 09/02/200 IICAL CORPORATIO	EXAMINER		
LUEDEKA, NE P.O. BOX 1871	EELY & GRAHAM, P	GOLOBOY, JAMES C		
KNOXVILLE,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/788,732	SAATHOFF ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
James Goloboy	1797	

		James Goloboy		'' ''	
The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appe	ars on the cover shee	t with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 August 2009</u> FAILS TO PLA	CE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDI	ITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but pr application, applicant must timely file one of the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:	e following ratice of Appe	replies: (1) an amendme eal (with appeal fee) in c	ent, affidavi compliance	t, or other evidence, wwith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from	m the mailing	date of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing on event, however, will the statutory period for rexaminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check eithe MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MI	reply expire la er box (a) or (l	iter than SIX MONTHS from b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b)	m the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C NOTICE OF APPEAL	period of extended and period of the second	ension and the correspond hortened statutory period f	ling amount for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A br	rief in compl	liance with 37 CFR 41.3	37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), on Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS					e appeal. Since a
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final	l rejection, b	out prior to the date of fil	ling a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require			h (see NO	ΓE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see		•			
(c) They are not deemed to place the applic	cation in bett	er form for appeal by m	aterially red	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without ca	ancelina a c	orreenanding number o	of finally rais	acted claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 3	_	· -	i illially reje	soled claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 3		· · · ·	of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (DTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following in			0114011-00	inpliant Amenament (i	10L-32+).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s)			sanarata t	timely filed amendmer	ot canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	would be all	owabie ii sabiiiittea iii a	ocparato,	amery med amendmen	it carroening the
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendr how the new or amended claims would be reje The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ected is prov			l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected to:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a fina because applicant failed to provide a showing was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.1160 	of good and (e).	I sufficient reasons why	the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the day entered because the affidavit or other evidence showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is 	e failed to o	vercome <u>all</u> rejections u	nder appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. X The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An	n explanatior	n of the status of the cla	ims after ei	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	المصاملة المصاملة	doo NOT place the ex			
 The request for reconsideration has been cor <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	nsidered but	does NOT place the ap	oplication ir	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Sta</i>13. ☐ Other:	ntement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Glenn A Caldarola/		JCG			
Acting SPE of Art Unit 1797					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has amended to claims to require a concentration of 3 to 5% by weight of dispersant. This new limitation raises new issues and therefore the amendment is not entered. The examiner opines that even if the amendment were entered, it would not render the claims allowable, as the concentration is within the standard concentration range for dispersants in lubricant compositions Applicant has further filed an affidavit by Saathoff, clarifying the identity of the compositions tested in the previous affidavit. However, the results are not commensurate with the scope of the claims, as the inventive and comparative compositions only contain 1% by weight of tertiary amine, while the claimed compositions can comprise from 0.5 to 8% by weight of the tertiary amine. Applicant additionally reiterates their arguments regarding Lowe from previous remarks. The examiner maintains the positions set forth in previous office actions.

/Glenn A Caldarola/ Acting SPE of Art Unit 1797